IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

	EST FRANKLIN, Petitioner, vs. YMOND SOBINA, Respondent.		C.A. No. 07-23Erie District Judge McLaughlin Magistrate Judge Baxter
	MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S	REPORT AN	D RECOMMENDATION
I.	RECOMMENDATION		
	It is respectfully recommended tha	t the instant pe	tition for writ of habeas corpus be
transf	ferred to the Eastern District of Penns	sylvania.	
II.	REPORT This is a petition for writ of habeas	s corpus, pursu	ant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, filed by a state
priso	•		nstitution at Marienville, in the Western
-	ict of Pennsylvania.		
	In his petition, Petitioner claims th	at he was conv	icted of Robbery, Criminal Conspriacy
and P	Possession of Instrument of a Crime in	n Philadelphia	County, Pennsylvania. Petitioner
claim	ns that a separate detainer has been loo	dged against hi	m by the "City of Baltimore, Maryland"
therel	by causing the Pennsylvania Board of	f Probation and	Parole to deny parole.
	Title 28 U.S.C. §2241(d) provides	that where an	application for a writ of habeas corpus is
made	by a person in custody under the jud	Igment and sen	tence of a state court of a state which
conta	ins two or more federal judicial distri	icts,	

the application may be filed in the district court for the district wherein such person is in custody or in the district court for the district within which the state court was held which convicted and

sentenced him and each of such district courts shall have

concurrent jurisdiction to entertain the application. The district court for the district wherein such an application is filed in the exercise of its discretion and in furtherance of justice may transfer the application to the other district court for hearing and determination.

28 U.S.C. §2241(d). See also, Bell v. Watkins, 692 F.2d 999 (5th Cir. 1982) (the district court transferred the action on the basis of the magistrate's recommendation which indicated that the district where the defendant was convicted was the more convenient forum because of the accessibility of evidence).

In the case at bar, Petitioner was tried and convicted in Philadelphia County,

Pennsylvania, and at least part of his records are located there. He is presently incarcerated at the

State Correctional Institution at Marienville, within the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Philadelphia County is located in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. This Court finds that the

interests of justice would be better served by transferring this petition to the Eastern District of

Pennsylvania wherein activity in this case occurred.

III. CONCLUSION

It is respectfully recommended that the petition for writ of habeas corpus be transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2241(d).

In accordance with the Magistrate Judges Act, 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1) (B) and (C), and Rule 72.1.4(B) of the Local Rules for Magistrate Judges, the parties are allowed ten days from the date of service to file written objections to this Report and Recommendation. Any party opposing the objections shall have seven days from the date of service of objections to respond thereto. Failure to file timely objections may constitute a waiver of any appellate rights.

S/ Susan Paradise Baxter
SUSAN PARADISE BAXTER
CHIEF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Dated: March 5, 2007